

## Enhanced Recovery and Endocrine Function Preservation via Interdisciplinary Care in Total Pancreatectomy Patients

Si Ching

Independent Scholar



**Abstract:** Optimizing surgical recovery while preserving endocrine function is a particular problem for total pancreatectomy (TP). The best way to handle TP is to combine specialist endocrine preservation techniques with Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) procedures through interdisciplinary care. This review looks at the most recent data in favor of coordinated strategies that incorporate comprehensive metabolic control, islet autotransplantation (IAT), organ-preserving surgery, and ERAS concepts. We examine the quality of life enhancements, functional preservation rates, and therapeutic results attained by interdisciplinary cooperation between allied health, endocrinology, and surgical cancer specialists. The synthesis shows that when endocrine function preservation techniques are coupled with improved recovery routes, patient

outcomes significantly increase.

**Keywords:** Complete pancreatectomy, improved postoperative recovery, islet autotransplantation, preservation of endocrine function, multidisciplinary treatment, and ERAS protocol

### Introduction

Total pancreatectomy is regarded as one of the most complex procedures in pancreatic surgery, leading to a complete loss of both endocrine and exocrine pancreatic functions. Traditionally linked with considerable morbidity and unstable diabetes mellitus, improvements in perioperative care and strategies for function preservation have significantly enhanced patient outcomes (Crippa et al., 2016). The combination of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocols with specialized techniques for endocrine preservation has developed into a holistic approach aimed at optimizing both immediate surgical results and long-term functional preservation (Melloul et al., 2020).

Enhanced recovery pathways, initially established by Kehlet and his team, consist of evidence-based multimodal interventions intended to reduce surgical stress and expedite recovery (Ergenc et al., 2021). When implemented in pancreatic surgery, ERAS protocols have shown a decrease in hospital length of stay, postoperative complications, and healthcare expenses (Chen et al., 2017). Concurrently, advancements in islet autotransplantation (IAT) and organ-preserving surgical methods present remarkable opportunities for the preservation of endocrine function (Robertson et al., 2008).

The intricate nature of total pancreatectomy requires a collaborative effort among various disciplines, including surgical oncology, endocrinology, anesthesiology, nursing, nutrition, and rehabilitation services. This review consolidates existing evidence that advocates for integrated strategies aimed at enhancing surgical recovery and preserving endocrine function, while also exploring clinical outcomes, implementation methods, and prospective avenues for achieving optimal patient care.

### A. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) in Pancreatic Surgery

#### B. Historical Development and Evidence Base

The ERAS Society released its inaugural guidelines for pancreatic surgery in 2012, which were later revised in 2019 following a systematic review of the literature and expert consensus achieved through the Delphi method (Melloul et al., 2020). These recommendations, grounded in evidence, detail 27 perioperative interventions that cover preoperative optimization, intraoperative management, and postoperative care protocols.

#### Core ERAS Components for Pancreatic Surgery

##### Preoperative Phase:

- Comprehensive patient education and counseling
- Optimization of nutritional status with immunonutrition for malnourished patients
- Avoidance of prolonged fasting with carbohydrate loading
- Standardized antimicrobial and thromboprophylaxis protocols

##### Goal-directed fluid therapy planning

##### Intraoperative Management:

- Avoidance of hypothermia
- Regional anesthesia techniques including wound catheters
- Minimally invasive surgical approaches when appropriate
- Protocolized fluid management

##### Postoperative Care:

- Early mobilization and rehabilitation
- Structured pain management protocols
- Judicious drain management with early removal criteria
- Progressive nutritional advancement
- Coordinated discharge planning

C. Table 1. ERAS Protocol Components and Evidence Levels for Pancreatic Surgery

ERAS Component	Intervention	Evidence Level	Grade of Recommendation
Preoperative education	Structured counseling program	Moderate	Strong
Nutritional optimization	Immunonutrition for weight loss >15%	High	Strong
Antimicrobial prophylaxis	Targeted antibiotic protocols	High	Strong
Thromboprophylaxis	Risk-stratified prevention	High	Strong
Wound catheters	Alternative to epidural analgesia	High	Strong
Fluid management	Goal-directed therapy	Moderate	Strong
Early drain removal	Removal at 72h if amylase <5000 U/L	High	Strong
Early mobilization	Progressive activity protocols	Moderate	Strong

Data adapted from: Melloul et al. (2020); Lorenzo et al. (2024).

#### D. Endocrine Function Preservation Strategies

##### E. Islet Autotransplantation (IAT)

Islet autotransplantation signifies the most notable advancement in the preservation of endocrine function for patients undergoing total pancreatectomy. This method entails the extraction of pancreatic islets from the excised pancreas,

followed by their transplantation, usually into the liver through portal vein infusion (Robertson et al., 2008).

##### Clinical Outcomes of IAT:

Research indicates that IAT results in insulin independence for 30-35% of patients one year after transplantation, with an additional 30-40% sustaining partial islet function that necessitates minimal insulin supplementation (Robertson et al., 2008; Sutton et al., 2023). Notably, the durability of autoislet

function is superior to that of alloislet transplantation, with 74% of patients who were initially insulin-independent remaining so after two years, in contrast to 45% of allograft recipients.

Factors Affecting IAT Success:

- Islet yield (islet equivalents per kilogram body weight)
- Patient age and body mass index
- Duration of chronic pancreatitis
- Previous pancreatic operations
- Timing of intervention in relation to disease progression

Organ-Preserving Surgical Techniques

Central Pancreatectomy:

For lesions situated in the pancreatic neck and proximal body, central pancreatectomy conserves both the pancreatic head and tail, thereby preserving maximal endocrine function (DiNorcia et al., 2010). Research consistently shows significantly lower rates of new-onset diabetes following central pancreatectomy compared to distal pancreatectomy (14% vs 46%,  $p=0.003$ ).

Spleen-Preserving Distal Pancreatectomy:

When anatomically possible, preserving the spleen during distal pancreatectomy diminishes infectious complications and upholds immune function (Fernandez-Cruz et al., 2002). The Kimura technique, which conserves both the splenic artery and vein, exhibits better outcomes compared to the Warshaw procedure.

Interdisciplinary Team Structure and Function

Core Team Composition

The ideal interdisciplinary team for total pancreatectomy with function preservation comprises:

- Pancreatic Surgeon/Surgical Oncologist: Responsible for surgical planning and optimizing techniques.
- Endocrinologist: Focused on metabolic management and diabetes care.
- Transplant Surgeon (if available): Expertise in IAT and its techniques.
- Anesthesiologist: Manages perioperative metabolic and hemodynamic aspects.
- Clinical Nurse Specialist: Coordinates care and provides patient education.
- Diabetes Educator: Trains on insulin management and glucose monitoring.
- Clinical Nutritionist: Works on optimizing nutritional status and enzyme replacement.
- Clinical Pharmacist: Oversees medication management and addresses drug interactions.
- Physical Therapist: Facilitates early mobilization and rehabilitation.
- Social Worker: Provides psychosocial support and assists with discharge planning.

Coordination Mechanisms

Multidisciplinary Team Conferences:

Regular MDT meetings promote case discussions, treatment planning, and outcome evaluations. Pre-operative conferences enhance surgical planning and coordinate IAT procedures when necessary.

Standardized Care Pathways:

The adoption of standardized order sets, clinical pathways, and nursing protocols guarantees consistent care delivery and minimizes variations in practice patterns.

Electronic Health Record Integration:

Shared documentation systems allow for real-time communication and coordination of care across various disciplines and settings.

Clinical Outcomes and Evidence Synthesis

Surgical and Recovery Outcomes

Enhanced Recovery Implementation:

Meta-analyses indicate that the implementation of the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocol in pancreatic surgery leads to a reduction in hospital length of stay by an average of 2.49 days and significantly lowers hospital costs (Xiong et al., 2024). The rates of complications, such as pancreatic fistula and delayed gastric emptying, do not show an increase with the adoption of the ERAS protocol.

Specific Benefits in Total Pancreatectomy:

A prospective study involving 159 patients who underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy and were randomized to receive either ERAS or conventional care revealed:

- A reduction in delayed gastric emptying (19% compared to 40%,  $p=0.02$ )
- A decrease in skin orifice infections (1% versus 8%,  $p=0.012$ )
- An earlier start of adjuvant chemotherapy (23.7 days versus 38.9 days,  $p<0.05$ )
- No rise in readmission rates or mortality (Chen et al., 2017)

Endocrine Function Preservation Outcomes

Long-Term Functional Results:

An analysis of 173 patients who underwent total pancreatectomy with islet autotransplantation (IAT) showed:

- Patient survival rates: 95% at 1 year, 93% at 2 years, and 85% at 5 years
- Islet function (either full or partial) was observed in 65% of recipients
- Insulin independence was achieved by 32% at the initial assessment
- Durability: 85% of functioning grafts retained their function at the 2-year mark

Quality of Life Improvements:

Research employing validated quality of life assessment tools indicates significant enhancements in physical function, pain management, and psychological wellbeing among patients who attained insulin independence or partial islet function, in comparison to those experiencing graft failure (Balzano et al., 2022).

*F. Table 2. Comparative Outcomes: ERAS vs Conventional Care in Pancreatic Surgery*

Review Article

Received: 10-01-2024| Accepted: 08-02-2024| Published: 15-02-2024

Outcome Measure	ERAS Protocol	Conventional Care	P-value	Reference
Length of stay (days)	10.2 ± 3.1	15.2 ± 3.9	<0.001	Chen et al., 2017
Delayed gastric emptying (%)	19	40	0.02	Chen et al., 2017
Pulmonary complications (%)	2.6	5.1	0.18	Chen et al., 2017
Skin orifice infections (%)	1.3	7.6	0.012	Chen et al., 2017
Time to chemotherapy (days)	23.7	38.9	<0.05	Chen et al., 2017
Overall morbidity (%)	46	57	0.04	Ergenc et al., 2021
Hospital costs (SMD)	Baseline	+0.36	<0.001	Xiong et al., 2024

SMD = Standardized Mean Difference

G.Implementation Strategies and Best Practices

H.Preoperative Optimization

Patient Selection for IAT:

Ideal candidates for islet autotransplantation include patients with:

- Chronic pancreatitis with intractable pain
- Benign or low-grade malignant neoplasms
- Adequate pancreatic parenchyma (non-fibrotic)
- Absence of significant portal hypertension
- Realistic expectations regarding outcomes

Nutritional Assessment:

Comprehensive nutritional evaluation identifies patients requiring preoperative optimization. Immunonutrition with

arginine, omega-3 fatty acids, and nucleotides is recommended for patients with >15% weight loss.

Intraoperative Coordination

Surgical Technique Optimization:

Coordination between surgical and transplant teams enables optimal islet isolation while maintaining oncological principles. Cold ischemia time minimization and gentle pancreatic handling preserve islet viability.

Anesthetic Management:

Goal-directed fluid therapy and tight glycemic control during the perioperative period optimize conditions for islet function and reduce complications.

Postoperative Management

Metabolic Monitoring:

Intensive glucose monitoring using continuous glucose monitoring systems enables early detection of islet function and prompt intervention for metabolic derangements.

#### Immunosuppression Considerations:

While IAT typically requires no immunosuppression, anti-inflammatory protocols may benefit islet engraftment and function in the early postoperative period.

#### Figure 1. Integrated Care Pathway for Total Pancreatectomy with Endocrine Function Preservation

[Figure Description: Comprehensive flowchart depicting the integrated care pathway from initial evaluation through long-term follow-up, including decision points for IAT candidacy, ERAS protocol implementation, and coordinated postoperative management.]

#### Challenges and Limitations

##### Technical Considerations

##### Islet Isolation Complexity:

Successful IAT requires specialized expertise and equipment for islet isolation, limiting availability to select centers. Quality control measures and standardized protocols are essential for optimal outcomes.

##### Resource Requirements:

Implementation of comprehensive ERAS protocols requires significant resource investment in staff training, protocol development, and system redesign.

##### Patient Factors

##### Disease Heterogeneity:

The severity of chronic pancreatitis and the presence of pancreatic fibrosis have a considerable effect on islet yield and the success of transplants, highlighting the need for tailored approaches.

##### Compliance Challenges:

The implementation of ERAS protocols necessitates active involvement and education from patients, which can pose difficulties in certain demographic groups.

##### System-Level Barriers

##### Coordination Complexity:

The provision of effective interdisciplinary care demands strong communication systems and standardized protocols, which can be challenging to establish in settings with limited resources.

##### Cost Considerations:

Although the adoption of ERAS can lead to a reduction in overall costs, the initial financial commitment required for protocol development and staff training may hinder its implementation.

##### Future Directions and Innovations

##### Technological Advances

##### Artificial Pancreas Systems:

The combination of continuous glucose monitoring with automated insulin delivery systems shows potential for patients who have experienced failed islet transplants or who are not suitable candidates for IAT.

##### Regenerative Medicine:

The use of pancreatic organoids and stem cell therapies may offer promising future alternatives for restoring endocrine function.

#### Minimally Invasive Techniques:

Robotic surgery and laparoscopic methods could further minimize surgical stress while preserving both oncological and functional outcomes.

#### Personalized Medicine Approaches

##### Biomarker Development:

The discovery of predictive biomarkers related to islet function and responses to ERAS protocols may facilitate the creation of personalized treatment strategies.

##### Pharmacogenomics:

Genetic variations that influence drug metabolism and responses could inform tailored perioperative management protocols.

##### Quality Improvement Initiatives

##### Outcome Registries:

The creation of extensive outcome databases will allow for benchmarking and ongoing quality enhancement across various centers.

##### Standardization Efforts:

Global cooperation on standardizing protocols and measuring outcomes will promote wider implementation and research.

#### Conclusions

The combination of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery protocols with strategies aimed at preserving endocrine function through interdisciplinary care signifies a significant shift in the management of total pancreatectomy. Evidence consistently shows that coordinated strategies that integrate ERAS principles, islet autotransplantation when suitable, and thorough metabolic management lead to better patient outcomes compared to conventional care models.

The primary advantages include a shorter hospital stay, fewer postoperative complications, maintained endocrine function in select patients, and enhanced quality of life. Effective implementation necessitates strong interdisciplinary collaboration, standardized protocols, and a dedication to evidence-based practices.

Future directions emphasize technological advancements, personalized medicine strategies, and quality improvement initiatives to further enhance outcomes. As pancreatic surgery progresses, the principles of enhanced recovery and function preservation through interdisciplinary care will continue to be essential for achieving the best patient outcomes.

The evidence strongly advocates for the adoption of integrated care models for patients undergoing total pancreatectomy, which may lead to improved survival rates, reduced morbidity, and a better quality of life. Ongoing research and quality improvement initiatives will further refine these methods and broaden their accessibility to patients globally.

#### I. References

1. Balzano, G., Maffi, P., Nano, R., Zerbi, A., Venturini, M., Melzi, R., ... & Secchi, A. (2022). Quality of life and metabolic outcomes after total pancreatectomy with islet autotransplantation: A prospective cohort study. *Communications Medicine*, 2(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43856-022-00087-7>

2. Chen, S., Chen, J. Z., Huang, Q., Chen, X. L., Lin, J. X., Lou, N., ... & Zheng, C. H. (2017). Modified protocol for enhanced recovery after surgery is beneficial for patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Hepatobiliary & Pancreatic Diseases International*, 16(2), 169-177. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1499-3872\(17\)60014-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1499-3872(17)60014-0)
3. Crippa, S., Salvia, R., Warshaw, A. L., Bassi, C., & Falconi, M. (2016). Total pancreatectomy in the treatment of pancreatic neoplasms: Indications, techniques, and outcomes. *Journal of Surgical Oncology*, 113(1), 25-31. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jso.24195>
4. DiNorcia, J., Ahmed, L., Lee, M. K., Reavey, P. L., Yakaitis, E. A., Lee, J. A., ... & Allendorf, J. D. (2010). Better preservation of endocrine function after central versus distal pancreatectomy for mid-gland lesions. *Surgery*, 148(6), 1247-1254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2010.09.003>
5. Ergenc, M., Karpuz, S., Ergenc, M., & Yegen, C. (2021). Enhanced recovery after pancreatic surgery: A prospective randomized controlled clinical trial. *Journal of Surgical Oncology*, 124(7), 1070-1076. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jso.26614>
6. Fernandez-Cruz, L., Martinez, I., Gilabert, R., Cesar-Borges, G., Astudillo, E., & Navarro, S. (2002). Laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy combined with preservation of the spleen for cystic neoplasms of the pancreas. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, 6(5), 652-657. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1091-255X\(02\)00002-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1091-255X(02)00002-2)
7. Gruessner, R. W., Sutherland, D. E., Dunn, D. L., Najarian, J. S., Jie, T., Hering, B. J., ... & Gruessner, A. C. (2004). Transplant options for patients undergoing total pancreatectomy for chronic pancreatitis. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, 198(4), 559-567. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2003.12.006>
8. Lorenzo, L., Limongelli, F., Quaranta, G., Ferent, C., Braghetto, B., Laffi, C., ... & Memeo, R. (2024). Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) in pancreatic surgery: A systematic review. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 13(20), 6205. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13206205>
9. Melloul, E., Lassen, K., Roulin, D., Grass, F., Perinel, J., Adham, M., ... & Demartines, N. (2020). Guidelines for perioperative care for pancreatoduodenectomy: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) recommendations 2019. *World Journal of Surgery*, 44(7), 2056-2084. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00268-020-05462-w>
10. Robertson, R. P., Lanz, K. J., Sutherland, D. E., & Kendall, D. M. (2008). Islet autotransplant outcomes after total pancreatectomy: A contrast to islet allograft outcomes. *Transplantation*, 86(12), 1799-1802. <https://doi.org/10.1097/TP.0b013e31818fe1b2>
11. Sutton, J. M., Schmulewitz, N., Ahmad, S. A., Cameron, J. L., Se![continue]