# A modern approach to assessing the satisfaction of medical staff in psychiatric institution medical services

Nadir A. Aliyev<sup>1</sup>, Gafarov TA<sup>2</sup>, Hagverdi ER<sup>3</sup>

1.2.3 Department of Psychiatry and Addiction, Azerbaijan State Advanced Training Institute for Doctorsnamed by A. Aliyev

#### **REVIEW ARTICLE**

Received: 10-07-2021 Accepted: 22-07-2021 Published: 25-07-2021 **Abstract:** The purpose of this study was to develop new methods for studying the role of medical personnel in the provision of medical care for patients in a psychiatric institution. The participation of psychiatric staff in a sociological study of the availability and quality of mental health services. Refusal of psychiatric staff to participate in the survey. The necessary questionnaires were provided to record the psychiatric staff's responses to the survey. The questionnaires were

completed by the surveyed nurses as workplace respondents. In order not to violate the anonymity of the survey, the last name or first name of the respondent should not be indicated in the questionnaire. The study involved 100 people.

A social survey of patients in psychiatric institutions is of great importance and highlights a number of questions aimed at improving it. Thus, in order to improve the work of the hospital, first of all, the results of a sociological survey conducted among psychiatric workers should be taken into account. Employees are very incompetent to involve family members in the treatment of patients - 20%. There is no doubt that future solutions to the main problems identified in this paper will help improve the quality of mental health care.

Keywords: psychiatric institution; medical staff; assessment of satisfaction with the quality of medical care.

## INTRODUCTION

Given the importance of improving the quality of psychiatric services, it is important in the modern world to assess the satisfaction of medical staff in psychiatric institutions with the quality of medical services provided there. For this purpose, the staff of the department prepared a questionnaire. The purpose of this study was to find out the opinions of psychiatric professionals about the quality of medical care provided to them and their satisfaction with their activities.

This is necessary to find effective ways to improve the quality of service and performance. The following questions are asked to find out how much you are personally satisfied with the activities of this organization. Nothing you write here will be disclosed and will remain anonymous. When filling out the questionnaire, carefully read the question, all the answer options, and translate the number that suits your opinion. We will be grateful if you make any comments at the end of the survey. Thank you for agreeing to answer the following questions!

Name of the institution: Republican Psychiatric Hospital No. 1 of the Ministry of Health of Azerbaijan (hereinafter referred to as "RPX").

\* Corresponding author:(Nadir A. Aliyev)
Published online at http://gulfpublishers.com/journal
Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). Published by Gulf Publishers
This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution
International
License (CC BY). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Date: January 2010 - July 2021

Table-1

Questions Answers in percent	Answers in percent
How long do you work in this hospital?	between the ages of 5 and 10 - 50%
	more than 10 years - 50%
2. How do you get to work?	by personal vehicle -50%
2. How do you got to work.	in public transport - 40%
	on foot - 10%
3. How much time do you spend going from home to work?	30-60 minutes - 30%
, 1 5 5	more than 60 minutes - 70%
4. How easy is it for you to get started?	very easy - 40%
very easy - 40%	more easy - 60%
very easy - 60%	
5. Are you satisfied with your place of work?	completely satisfied - 50%
	to some extent satisfied -40%
	not satisfied at all - 10%
6. Are you satisfied with your work?	totally agree - 50%
•	somewhat satisfied - 40%
	not satisfied at all - 10%
7. Are your expectations for career advancement justified?	most likely - 50%
•	more likely - 40%
	difficult - 10%
8. Do your expectations for career advancement come true?	fully justified - 50%
	somewhat justified - 40%
	no - 10%
9. Is your job responsibility?	very responsible - 70%
	more responsible - 30%
10. Are you satisfied with the level of responsibility you have	completely satisfied - 70%
at work?	somewhat satisfied - 30%
11. Do you feel overwhelmed by this work?	always - 70%
	often - 30%
12. Are you generally satisfied with your relationships with	completely satisfied - 70%
colleagues?	somewhat satisfied - 15%
	to some extent dissatisfied - 15%
13. How often do you hold staff meetings?	more than once a week - 40%
	once a week - 60%
14. Are you satisfied with the frequency of these meetings?	completely satisfied - 100%
15. Are you satisfied with the meetings with the staff?	completely satisfied - 60%
	somewhat satisfied - 40%
16. Are you satisfied with the efficiency of the meetings?	completely satisfied - 60%
	somewhat satisfied - 40%
17. Do you get the necessary support from your closest	always - 60%
leader?	often - 40%
18. Are you satisfied with the support you	completely satisfied - 50%
	somewhat satisfied - 50%
19. Do you think that the heads of enterprises (departments)	always - 50%
control you too much?	often - 30%
	sometimes - 20%
20. Are you satisfied with this degree of control?	completely satisfied - 50%
-	somewhat satisfied - 30%
	to some extent dissatisfied - 20%
21. Are you satisfied with the degree of independence you	completely satisfied - 60%
have at work?	somewhat satisfied - 40%
	<del></del>

22. Are you satisfied with communication with your	completely satisfied - 30%
colleagues in professional matters?	somewhat satisfied - 30%
	not satisfied at all - 40%
23. Are you generally satisfied with your communication with	completely satisfied - 50%
management?	somewhat satisfied - 50%
24. Are you involved in quality improvement decisions in this	very often - 1; 50%
regard?	often - 2; 30%
Togard.	sometimes - 4; 20%
25. To what extent are you satisfied with your participation in	completely satisfied - 60%
decision-making to improve the quality of care?	somewhat satisfied - 20%
decision-making to improve the quanty of care:	not satisfied at all - 20%
26 Do you posticipate in the practical implementation of navy	
26. Do you participate in the practical implementation of new	very often - 50%
programs (new activities) in your work?	often - 20%
	sometimes - 30%
27. How satisfied are you with your participation in the	completely satisfied - 40%
practical implementation of new programs (new activities) in	somewhat satisfied - 30%
your work?	I'm not satisfied at all - 30%
28. Do you participate in the evaluation of the activities (or	very often - 40%
programs) of your organization?	often - 30%
	sometimes - 30%
29. Are you satisfied with the degree of participation in the	completely satisfied - 30%
evaluation of the activities of your organization?	somewhat satisfied - 40%
·	I'm not satisfied at all - 30%
30. From your point of view, how often are your opinions	very often - 50%
taken into account?	often - 30%
	sometimes - 20%
31. Are you satisfied with the attention paid to your opinion?	completely satisfied - 50%
31. The you satisfied with the attention paid to your opinion.	somewhat satisfied - 30%
	I'm not satisfied at all - 20%
32. How satisfied are you with the microclimate in your	completely satisfied - 40%
enterprise?	somewhat satisfied - 40%
encerprise:	I'm not satisfied at all - 5. 20%
22 Ham de man access de la la fina di man ef amalance	
33. How do you assess the level of friendliness of employees	very kind - 30%
of your company?	very kind - 40%
	no friends - 30%
24.4	1 . 1
34. Are you satisfied with your salary?	completely satisfied - 30%
	somewhat satisfied - 30%
	I'm not satisfied at all - 40%
35. Are you satisfied with the benefits you receive at work?	completely satisfied - 30%
	somewhat satisfied - 30%
	not satisfied at all - 40%
36. How satisfied are you with the care and attention provided	completely satisfied - 50%
to patients?	somewhat satisfied - 40%
	not satisfied at all - 20%
37. Do you think that the specialists of your institution listen	always - 50%
to the opinions of patients?	often - 30%
	never - 20%
38. How well do you think patients generally understand their	very good - 40%
problems?	pretty good - 40%
r · · · · · · ·	not very good - 20%
39. How do you think employees in your organization	very good - 50%
generally understand what help their patients need?	pretty good - 30%
generally understand what help then patients need:	1
40. Civan the general needs of residents to stall in the C. 111	do not understand at all - 20%
40. Given the general needs of patients treated in your facility,	completely compatible - 50%
how does the care you provide match them?	to some extent - 20%
	does not match at all - 30%

41. Do you think the cost of services provided by patients here	fully helps - 50%
is acceptable?	partially helps - 20%
•	does not help - 30%
42. Are you satisfied with the amount of information provided	fully justified - 50%
to patients about their illness?	quite acceptable - 30%
•	quite unreasonable - 20%
43. Are you satisfied with the amount of information provided	completely satisfied - 50%
to patients about their treatment?	somewhat satisfied - 30%
	not satisfied at all - 20%
44. Do you think that the process of enrolling patients from	completely satisfied - 50%
this institution is discussed enough?	somewhat satisfied - 50%
45. Do you think that the process of enrolling patients from	yes, enough - 50%
this institution is sufficiently discussed with patients?	not enough - 30%
	not discussed at all - 20%
46. Are you generally satisfied with the treatment of patients	completely satisfied - 40%
by the medical staff?	somewhat satisfied - 40%
	not satisfied at all - 20%
46. Are you generally satisfied with the treatment of patients	completely satisfied - 40%
by the medical staff?	somewhat satisfied - 40%
47. 4	not satisfied at all - 20%
47. Are you satisfied with the amount of services provided to	completely satisfied - 40%
patients of this institution?	somewhat satisfied - 30%
	not satisfied at all - 30%
48. Are you satisfied with the measures taken in this	completely satisfied - 50%
institution to ensure the patient's privacy and peace during	somewhat satisfied - 50%
treatment (for example, closed doors, no interference, breaks	
in the process of talking to the doctor)?	1, 1, 2, 6, 1, 500/
49. Are you satisfied with your privacy practices?	completely satisfied - 50%
50. Do you think that the staff of this institution is usually	somewhat satisfied - 50% always - 50%
50. Do you think that the staff of this institution is usually attentive to patients?	often - 30%
attentive to patients?	never - 20%
51. To what extent are you satisfied with the volume of	completely satisfied - 40%
communication between employees and patients?	somewhat satisfied - 40%
communication between employees and patients:	not satisfied at all - 20%
52. To what extent are you satisfied with the volume of	once a day - 50%
communication between employees and patients?	1-2 times a week - 50%
communication between employees and patients:	1-2 times a week - 5070
53. How satisfied are you with the volume of communication	very often - 50%
between employees and patients?	often - 40%
Parameter	never - 10%
54. Do patients have a choice of psychiatric institution? 55. If	very often - 20%
there is a choice, why did they choose this institution?	often - 50%
	never - 30%
55. If there is a choice, why did they choose this institution?	previously treated here - 70%
	knew someone who had been treated here before - 30%
56. Are you satisfied with the involvement of relatives in the	completely satisfied - 30%
treatment of patients?	somewhat satisfied - 40%
· · · · <b>r</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	not satisfied at all - 30%
57. Do you think that employees should encourage their	as a rule, yes - 70%
relatives to take an active part in their treatment?	depending on the conditions - 30%
r and the same and	
58. Are you satisfied with the attitude of your employees	I am completely satisfied - 70%
towards the relatives of patients?	somewhat satisfied - 30%
	not satisfied at all - 20%

59. Do you think that the staff of the institution is skilled enough to involve family members in the treatment of patients?	I think they are very talented - 40% sufficiently competent - 40% very incompetent - 20%
60. How do you assess the general competence of your company's employees?	very competent - 50% sufficiently competent - 30% very incompetent - 20%

61. To what extent are you satisfied with the professional competence of employees?	completely satisfied - 50% somewhat satisfied - 30% not satisfied at all - 20%
62. Do you take the necessary measures to feel safe in the	fully accepted - 50%
event of an incident of violence during the work of the enterprise?	accepted to some extent - 50%
63. Are you satisfied with the security measures taken in your	completely satisfied - 50%
enterprise?	somewhat satisfied - 30%
	not satisfied completely satisfied - 40%
	somewhat satisfied - 40%
	to some extent dissatisfied - 20%
	at all - 20%
64. How satisfied are you with your relationship with other	completely satisfied - 40%
psychiatric institutions?	somewhat satisfied - 40%
	to some extent dissatisfied - 20%
65. How do you assess the conditions of stay in your	good - 80%
enterprise (bathroom, toilet, food, external and internal	not very good - 20%
condition of the building, etc.)?	
66. Are you satisfied with the comfort and convenience in the	completely satisfied - 60%
enterprise?	somewhat satisfied - 20%
	to some extent I do not agree - 20
67. If a friend or relative needed mental health care, would	of course yes - 70%
you recommend the company you work for?	maybe yes - 30%

The method of Questionnaires of Cox J., Muholland H An instrument assessment of videotapes of general practitioners performance // British Medical Journal. — 1993. — Vol. 306. — P.1043–1046. Were modifying by Doctors of Medical Sciences T.A. Gafarov, Doctors of Medical Sciences N.A. Aliyev assistant E.R. Hagverdi of the Department of Psychiatry and Addiction, Azerbaijan State Advanced Training Institute for Doctorsnamed by A. Aliyev[1]

### Research results and discussion

Research results indicate in the table. We basically stopped at those indicators in which we are very scorched.

The role of medical personnel in the provision of medical care for patients in a psychiatric institution does not study. Thus, the social survey conducted among psychiatric staff is of great importance. Analysis of the literature showed that there were practically no such studies on our topic [1-9].

It is proposed to evaluate the results of the survey based on the following positions:

- 1. Completely or to some extent satisfied with the psychiatric service provided: 70% of the total number of respondents who answered all the questions of the questionnaire positively.
- 2. Psychiatry is dissatisfied or somewhat dissatisfied with the quality of service: the survey 20% of the total number of respondents who answered all the negative questions of the questionnaire.
- 3. The percentage of respondents who are dissatisfied with the quality is 10% who answered the relevant questions negatively.

Thus, 100% of hospitals staff have been working for more than 5-10 years, 90% of their plans are satisfactory; 100% feel responsible and overworked: 60% of those who meet with employees twice a week. In addition, 80% of the respondents answered positively to the question of how you assess the conditions of your stay (bathroom, toilet, food, exterior and interior of the building, etc.) and 70% answered positively to the question of whether you would recommend a friend or relative for mental health care.

Limitations of the work: 1) although the information obtained is of a regional nature, the study of this issue in other countries of the world will be of great importance; 2) the number of participants in the study is limited. Despite the limitation, the study of these issues is extremely important and promising. Finally, it aims to improve care for patients with mental disorders.

#### Conclusion

The social survey of patients in psychiatric institutions is of great importance and highlights a number of issues aimed at improving it. Thus, in order to improve the work of the hospital, first of all, the results of a social survey conducted among the staff of psychiatric institutions should be taken into account. Employees are very incompetent enough to involve family members in the process of treating patients - 20%. Rehabilitation and labor treatment of patients in particular are at a very low level. However, the material and technical base of the hospital is positively assessed by the majority of all respondents.

There is no doubt that addressing the key issues outlined in the summary will help improve the quality of psychiatric care in the future.

#### REFERENCE

- Cox J., Muholland H An instrument assessment of videotapes of general practitioners performance // British Medical Journal. — 1993. — Vol. 306. — P.1043–1046.
- G. LÄNGLE, W. BAUM, A. WOLLINGER, G. RENNER, R. U'REN, F. SCHWÄRZLER,G. W. ESCHWEILER. Indicators of quality of in-patient psychiatric treatment: the patients' view. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, Volume 15, Issue 3, May 2003, Pages 213–221, https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzg032
- 3. Goldman, M, Spaeth-Rublee, B, Pincus, H, Quality Indicators for Physical and Behavioral Health Care Integration, *JAMA*. 2015;314(8):769-770
- 4. Glied, S, Stein, B, et al, Measuring Performance in Psychiatry: A Call to Action, Psychiatric Services. 2015; 66;8:872-878.
- Pincus HA, Scholle SH, Spaeth-Rublee B, Hepner KA, Brown J. Quality Measures For Mental Health And Substance Use: Gaps, Opportunities, And Challenges, Health Affairs (Millwood). 2016 Jun 1;35(6):1000-1008.
- 6. Ranallo PA, Kilbourne AM, Whatley AS, Pincus HA. Behavioral Health Information Technology: From

- Chaos To Clarity, Health Affairs (Millwood). 2016 Jun 1:35(6):1106-1113
- 7. Elisabetta Miglietta, Clara Belessiotis-Richards,
  Mirella Ruggeri, Stefan Priebeb. Scales for assessing
  patient satisfaction with mental health care: A
  systematic review. Journal of Psychiatric
  Research 100. February 2018.
  DOI: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.02.014.
- Amy M. Kilbourne, Kathryn Beck, Brigitta
   Spaeth-Rublee, Parashar Ramanuj, Robert W.
   O'Brien, Naomi Tomoyasu, and Harold Alan Pincus.
   Measuring and improving the quality of mental
   health care: a global perspective. World
   Psychiatry. 2018 Feb; 17(1): 30–38. Published online
   2018 Jan 19. doi: 10.1002/wps.20482;
   PMCID: PMC5775149; PMID: 29352529.
- 9. Lampros Samartzis and Michael A. Talias. Assessing and Improving the Quality in Mental Health Services. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020
  Jan;. doi: 10.3390/ijerph1701024917(1): 249.
  PMCID: PMC6982221; PMID: 31905840